

- 1 A brief introduction to Volume conjecture
- 2 Linear Fractional Transformation and 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry
- 3 Inversive geometry and hyperbolic geometry
 - 3.1 Inversion(or reflection) and Möbius transformation
 - 3.2 Möbius transformations as conformal maps
 - 3.3 Möbius transformation as a cross-ratio preserving maps
 - 3.4 Möbius transformation as a sphere preserving map
 - 3.5 Poincare extension

Definition 3.5.1. Define $i : M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}})$ by $\sigma = J_S \mapsto \tilde{\sigma} = J_{\tilde{S}}$ and $i : \phi = \sigma_1 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_k \mapsto \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\sigma}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\sigma}_k$, where \tilde{S} is the sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} for which $\tilde{S} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = S$.

Check if i is uniquely well-defined and 1-1 :

Suppose $\tilde{\phi}_1, \tilde{\phi}_2$ are two extensions of $\phi \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) \implies \tilde{\phi}_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_2^{-1} = id$ on \mathbb{R}^n and preserves $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \implies \tilde{\phi}_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_2^{-1} = id$ by Proposition 3.5.2.

Theorem 3.5.1.

- i) $\phi \in M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}) := \{\phi \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}) \mid \phi \text{ is an automorphism on } \mathbb{H}^{n+1}\} \implies \phi|_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}} \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$
- ii) $i(M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})) = M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$
- iii) $\phi \in M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}) \Leftrightarrow \phi = J_{S_1} \circ \cdots \circ J_{S_k}, S_i \perp \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$

Proof.

- i) $\phi \in M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}) \implies \phi| : \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cup \cdot$. $\phi|$ preserves cross-ratio since ϕ does. $\implies \phi| \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$
- ii) (C) : clear. (D) : $\forall \phi \in M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$, consider $\phi|$. Then $\tilde{\phi}| \circ \phi^{-1} = id$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cup \cdot \implies \tilde{\phi}| \circ \phi^{-1} = id \implies \phi = \tilde{\phi}| = i(\phi|)$.
- iii) (\Leftarrow) : clear. (\Rightarrow) : clear from ii). □

Note that $\forall \phi \in M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$, $\phi| \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$ as in the proof of i) and $\phi = \tilde{\phi}|$ by the proof of ii). Therefore if $\phi \in M(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is a similarity, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is the unique similarity on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} whose restriction is ϕ .

Now consider the ball model. Recall $\eta = J_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}} \circ J_{S(e_{n+1}, \sqrt{2})} : \mathbb{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, $S^n = \partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} = \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Then

$$M(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}) = \eta^{-1} \circ M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}) \circ \eta.$$

Proposition 3.5.1. Let $\phi \in M(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$. Then the followings are equivalent.

- i) $\phi(\infty) = \infty$
- ii) $\phi(0) = 0$
- iii) $\phi \in O(n+1)$

Proof. i) \iff ii) since ϕ preserves the inversion $J_{S(0,1)}$. If i) holds, then ii) also holds and ϕ is a similarity: $x \mapsto \lambda Ax$, where $A \in O(n)$. Now $\phi : \mathbb{B}^{n+1} \circlearrowright \implies |\lambda| = 1$ and hence iii) follows. Now iii) \implies ii) is clear. \square

3.6 Hyperbolic metric

3.6.1 \mathbb{B}^n case

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ and $x^* = \sigma_1(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2}$. Note that

$$|x^* - u^*|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i}{|x|^2} - \frac{u_i}{|u|^2} \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|x|^2 - 2x_i u_i + |u|^2}{|x|^2 |u|^2} = \left(\frac{|x - u|}{|x||u|} \right)^2.$$

This yields

$$[x, x^*, u, u^*] = \frac{|x - u||x^* - u^*|}{\left| x - \frac{x}{|x|^2} \right| \left| u - \frac{u}{|u|^2} \right|} = \frac{|x - u|^2}{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |u|^2)}.$$

Put $u = x + dx$, $y = \phi x$ and since the Möbius transformation ϕ preserves cross ratio, we conclude

$$\frac{2|dy|}{1 - |y|^2} = \frac{2|dx|}{1 - |x|^2}.$$

In other words, the Poincare metric is invariant under Möbius transformations.

3.6.2 \mathbb{H}^{n+1} case

The inversive point is given as $x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Then

$$[x, x^*, u, u^*] = \frac{|x - u||x^* - u^*|}{|x - x^*||u - u^*|} = \frac{|x - u|^2}{4x_{n+1}u_{n+1}},$$

and by letting $u = x + dx$, we see that

$$\frac{|dx|^2}{4x_{n+1}^2}$$

is an invariant metric and $\frac{|dx|}{x_{n+1}}$ is called the Poincare metric.

3.6.3 Canonical embedding

Fig3.1

Proposition 3.6.1. $M(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}) = Isom(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$

Proof.

(C) : clear.

(D) : It suffices to show that $M(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}) = Isom(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$. $M(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}) \subset Isom(\mathbb{B}^{n+1})$ and is already "full", i.e., transitive and isotropy group = $O(n+1)$. Indeed $g \in Isom(M)$, M connected Riemannian, such that $g(x) = x$ and $dg(x) = id$, then $g = id$: Note $g = id$ on a neighborhood of x (since it fixes radial geodesics), and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{x \in M \mid g(x) = x \text{ and } dg(x) = id\} \\ &\Rightarrow A \text{ is open and closed} \\ &\Rightarrow A = M. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.7 Isometry types

3.7.1 \mathbb{B}^{n+1} case

$\phi \in M(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}) \implies \phi : \overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}} \circlearrowleft \implies \phi$ has a fixed point in $\overline{\mathbb{B}^{n+1}}$ by Brouwer fixed point theorem.

Claim. ϕ has more than two fixed points on $S^n = \partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1} \implies \phi$ has a fixed point in \mathbb{B}^{n+1} .

Proof. Work on \mathbb{H}^{n+1} and suppose $\#|Fix| \geq 3$ on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. We may assume $\phi(\infty) = \infty$, $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(e_1) = e_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \phi(x) = Ax, A \in O(n+1) \\ &\implies \phi(x) \text{ fixes } x_{n+1} \text{ axis since it is perpendicular to } \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ i.e., fixes points in } \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore we have the following trichotomy for a conjugacy class of ϕ :

- (1) ϕ fixes a point in \mathbb{B}^{n+1} : elliptic
- (2) ϕ fixes exactly one point on $\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1} = S^n$: parabolic
- (3) ϕ fixes exactly two points on $\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1} = S^n$: loxodormic or hyperbolic

3.7.2 \mathbb{H}^2 and \mathbb{H}^3 case

$$g(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad A := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

Fixed point : $z = g(z) \implies cz^2 + (d - a)z - b = 0 \implies D = (d - a)^2 + 4bc = (a + d)^2 - 4(ad - bc) = \text{tr}^2(A) - 4\det(A)$. Then we define

$$\text{tr}(g) := \frac{(\text{tr}A)^2}{\det(A)},$$

which is an invariant of a projective transformation. Note that we have the following trichotomy for $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$

- (1) g is elliptic $\iff D < 0 \iff \text{tr}^2 < 4$
- (2) g is parabolic $\iff D = 0 \iff \text{tr}^2 = 4$
- (3) g is hyperbolic $\iff D > 0 \iff \text{tr}^2 > 4$

Proposition 3.7.1. Let $G = PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ or $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\forall f, g \in G$ we have $f \sim g$ (i.e., f is conjugate to g) $\iff \text{tr}^2(f) = \text{tr}^2(g)$

Proof.

\implies : Clear.

\impliedby :

- (1) $\text{tr}^2(g) = 4 \implies$ there exists a unique fixed point, say ∞ .
 $\implies g(z) = az + b$ and $a = 1$ (\exists another fixed point otherwise)
 $\implies g \sim f : z \mapsto z + 1$ ($\because f = h^{-1} \circ g \circ h$ with $h(z) = bz$)
- (2) $\text{tr}^2(g) \neq 4 \implies$ there are two fixed points, say $0, \infty$
 $\implies g \sim f(z) = az$ ($a \neq 1$ $a \neq 0$) $\implies \text{tr}^2 = a + \frac{1}{a} + 2$
 - (i) $|a| = 1 \implies g$ is elliptic
 - (ii) $|a| \neq 1 \implies g$ is loxodromic (hyperbolic if a is real)

Notice that, tr^2 determines $a, \frac{1}{a}$ and $g(z) = az \sim f(z) = \frac{1}{a}z$ via $h(z) = -1/z$, and this proves the proposition. \square

In the above proof, we notice

$$(2)i) \implies \text{tr}^2 g = a + \frac{1}{a} + 2 = a + \bar{a} + 2 = 2 \cos \theta + 2 \in [0, 4).$$

Conversely, if $\text{tr}^2 g \in [0, 4)$, then by the above dichotomy $g \sim f(z) = az$ with $a = e^{i\theta}$, i.e., elliptic. Hence we have the following map,

$$\text{tr}^2 : (G \setminus \{id\}) / \sim \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\text{tr}^2(\text{elliptic}) = [0, 4)$, $\text{tr}^2(\text{parabolic}) = 4$, and loxodromic otherwise.