

## II.2 Compactness

**Definition 1** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $S$  be a subset of  $X$ . A collection  $\mathcal{U}$  is a covering of  $S$  if  $S \subset \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U$ . If each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is an open set,  $\mathcal{U}$  is called an open covering of  $S$ .

**Definition 2** A topological space  $X$  is compact if every open covering of  $X$  has a finite subcovering, i.e., for each open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  there exists a finite number of sets  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\} \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \bigcup U_i$ .

Note that a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is compact iff an open covering of  $A$  in  $X$  has a finite subcovering.

- Example**
1.  $X$  has a indiscrete topology.  $\Rightarrow X$  is compact.
  2.  $X$  is a finite set.  $\Rightarrow X$  is compact.
  3. A finite union of compact sets is compact.
  4.  $\mathbb{R}$  is not compact.
  5.  $(a, b]$  is not compact. Consider  $(0, 1]$  and its open covering

$$\{(1/n, 1] | n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

6. (Heine-Borel property) Every closed and bounded interval  $[a, b]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact.

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open covering of  $I = [a, b]$ .

Let  $S := \{x \in I | [a, x] \text{ can be covered by finitely many open sets in } \mathcal{U}\}$ . Then  $S \neq \emptyset$  since  $a \in S$ . Let  $c := \sup S$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a covering,  $\exists U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $c \in U$ . If  $c \neq b$ , choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $(c-2\epsilon, c+2\epsilon) \subset U$ . Since  $[a, c-\epsilon]$  is covered by finitely many open sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ , say  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ , we have  $[a, c+\epsilon] \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i) \cup U$ , i.e.,  $[a, c+\epsilon]$  is covered by finitely open sets, and hence  $c+\epsilon \in S$ . This is a contradiction to the fact  $c = \sup S$ , and we conclude that  $c = b$ . But in this case, by the same argument as above,  $c = b \in S$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1**  $X$  is compact.  $\Leftrightarrow$  If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $X$  with finite intersection property, i.e.,  $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_n \neq \emptyset$  for any finite subcollection  $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof** Every collection of open subsets whose union is  $X$  contains a finite subcollection whose union is  $X$ .  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every collection of closed subsets whose intersection is empty contains a finite subcollection whose intersection is empty.

$\square$

**Example**  $\{(-\infty, n] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  satisfies FIP but  $\bigcap\{(-\infty, n] \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \emptyset$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not compact.

**Proposition 2** *A closed subset of a compact space is compact.*

**Proof** Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open covering of closed subset  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{A^c\}$  is an open covering of  $X$  and hence  $\exists$  a finite open subcovering  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ . Now  $\mathcal{V} - \{A^c\}$  is the desired finite subcovering for  $A$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3** *Suppose  $X$  is Hausdorff. If  $C$  is a compact subset of  $X$  and  $x$  is a point disjoint from  $C$ , then there exist two disjoint open neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $C$  and  $x$  respectively. In other words, a compact set and a point can be separated by open sets in a Hausdorff space.*

**Proof** For each  $y \in C$ , the Hausdorff property implies that there are disjoint open neighborhoods  $U_y \ni y$  and  $V_y \ni x$ . Since  $C$  is compact,  $C \subset U_{y_1} \cap U_{y_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{y_n} = U$ . Set  $V = V_{y_1} \cap V_{y_2} \cap \dots \cap V_{y_n}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4** *A compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed.*

**Proof**  $C^c$  is open since, for any point  $x \in C^c$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $O_x$  disjoint with  $C$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5** *Two disjoint compact subsets of a Hausdorff space have disjoint open neighborhoods.*

**Proof** Suppose  $C$  and  $D$  are compact subsets. For each  $y \in D$ , there exist disjoint open neighborhoods  $U_y, V_y$  of  $C$  and  $y$  respectively. By compactness,  $D$  is covered by finitely many  $V_y$ 's. Also  $C$  is contained in the intersection of the corresponding finitely many open sets  $U_y$ 's.  $\square$

**Theorem 6** *The product of finitely many compact spaces is compact.*

**Proof** It is sufficient to show that the product of two compact spaces is compact. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two compact spaces. Suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in J\}$  is an open covering of  $X \times Y$ . Fix  $x \in X$ . Then for each  $y \in Y$ , there is an open set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  which contains  $(x, y)$ , and a basic open neighborhood of  $(x, y)$ ,  $V \times W \subset U$ . The collection of all such basic open sets covers the compact set  $\{x\} \times Y$  and hence has a finite subcovering  $\{V_1 \times W_1, \dots, V_n \times W_n\}$  where each  $V_i \times W_i \subset U_i$  for some  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $V_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ , then  $V_x \times Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_i \times W_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$  is an open set containing  $\{x\} \times Y$ . Now  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x \mid x \in X\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ . Since  $X$

is compact, there is a finite subcovering  $\{V_{x_1}, \dots, V_{x_n}\}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Also each  $V_{x_i} \times Y$  is covered by a finite number of elements in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Thus  $X \times Y$  is covered by finitely many open sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7** (Generalized Heine-Borel) *Suppose  $A$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $A$  is compact if and only if  $A$  is closed and bounded.*

**Proof** Suppose that  $A$  is compact, then  $A$  is closed since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is Hausdorff. Construct an open covering  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $A$  as

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B_n(0) \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Since  $A$  is compact,  $\mathcal{B}$  has a finite subcovering and hence  $A$  is contained in  $B_n(0)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Conversely suppose that  $A$  is closed and bounded, then there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A$  is contained in  $B_r(0)$ . Note that  $A \subset B_r(0) \subset [-r, r]^n$ . Thus  $A$  is a closed subset of the compact space  $[-r, r]^n$ . Hence  $A$  is compact.  $\square$

- Example**
1. Closed balls and spheres are compact.
  2. Cantor set is compact.
  3.  $I \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is not compact.
  4.  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is not compact.

**Remark** Let  $X$  be a metric space. If  $A \subset X$  is compact, then  $A$  is closed and bounded. But not conversely.

**Example** Consider a metric space  $X$  with a metric

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the topology induced by  $d$  is discrete. Thus, if  $X$  is an infinite set,  $X$  cannot be compact. However  $X$  is bounded.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function from a space  $X$  to a space  $Y$ . Then the followings hold.*

1. *If  $X$  is compact,  $f(X)$  is compact.*
2. *If  $X$  is compact and  $Y$  is Hausdorff,  $f$  is a closed map.*
3. *If  $X$  is compact,  $Y$  is Hausdorff and  $f$  is bijective, then  $f$  is a homeomorphism.*

**Proof**

1. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open covering of  $f(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open covering of  $X$  and has a finite subcovering  $\{f^{-1}(U_1), f^{-1}(U_2), \dots, f^{-1}(U_n)\}$ . Since  $X \subset f^{-1}(U_1) \cup f^{-1}(U_2) \cup \dots \cup f^{-1}(U_n) = f^{-1}(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)$ ,  $f(X)$  is covered by a finite subcovering  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ .

2. Suppose  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact,  $A$  is compact and  $f(A)$  is compact. Now  $f(A)$  is a compact subset of a Hausdorff space  $Y$ . Hence  $f(A)$  is closed.

3. It is clear from the above result.  $\square$

**(exercise)** Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous map from a compact space  $X$  to the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  attains its maximum and minimum.

**Corollary 9** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function from a compact space  $X$  to a Hausdorff space  $Y$ . If  $f$  is continuous and injective,  $f$  is an imbedding.*

**Example** 1. The function  $f : \prod A_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , given by  $f((a_i)) = \sum \frac{a_i}{3^i}$ , is an imbedding.

2. A wild arc in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is an imbedding of an unit interval  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Definition 3**  $X$  is countably compact if every countable covering has a finite subcovering.

**Definition 4**  $X$  has the Bolzano Weierstrass Property(BWP) if every infinite subset of  $X$  has an accumulation point.

**Theorem 10** *If  $X$  is countably compact, the  $X$  has BWP.*

**Proof** It suffices to show that every countably infinite subset has an accumulation point. Suppose that a countably infinite set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  does not have an accumulation point. Then

(i)  $A$  is closed since  $\bar{A} = A \cup A' = A$ ,

(ii) each  $a_i$  is an isolated point of  $A$ . Thus, for each  $a_i$ , there is an open neighborhood  $O_i$  such that  $O_i \cap A = \{a_i\}$ .

Thus  $\{O_i\} \cup \{X - A\}$  is a countable open covering of  $X$ . By the countable compactness there is a finite subcovering  $\{O_{i_1}, \dots, O_{i_n}\} \cup \{X - A\}$ . Therefore some  $O_{i_k}$  must contain infinitely many  $a_i$ 's in  $A$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 11** *If a space  $X$  is Hausdorff and has BWP, then  $X$  is countably compact.*

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots\}$  be a countable open covering of  $X$ . We may assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is not redundant, i.e.,  $U_{n+1}$  is not contained in  $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$  for each  $n$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  does not have a finite subcovering. Then there is a set  $A = \{x_n \in X \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that each  $x_n$  is in  $U_n - (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{n-1})$ .  $A$  is an infinite set since  $x_i \neq x_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . By BWP,  $A$  has an accumulation point  $x \in A$ . There is  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$  which contains  $x$ . From the Hausdorff condition,  $U_n$  should contain infinitely many  $x_i$ 's. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark** Compact  $\Rightarrow$  Countably compact  $\Rightarrow$  BWP